



No-Till Grain Production in Wyoming: Status and Potential


Jay B. Norton

University of Wyoming Department of Renewable Resources

Changes with Conservation Tillage

A Tighter System

- Increased moisture under no-till allows continuous cropping;
- Soil organic matter content increases;
- Better use of in-season precipitation for moisture and SOM mineralization to supply late season nutrients;





Rebuilding Soil Organic Matter

- Improved yields despite lower early season moisture and nutrients result from increased SOM contents with reduced tillage;
- Increased SOM results from less aeration, which slows decomposition and conserves SOM;


Rebuilding Soil Organic Matter

- Increased yields result in more crop residue over the long term;
- More residues stimulate microbial activity, which can tie up and reduce availability of nutrients, especially N;
- Contributes to higher fertilizer needs after conversion to no-till;
- More crop residue with slower decomposition increases SOM over the long term.




Rebuilding Soil Organic Matter

- Organic matter fractions corresponding with active, slow, and passive pools each increased under continuous crop no-till in long-term research in Colorado;




From Sherrod et al., 2005. Based on 12 years of data. Active SOM includes easily decomposable plant materials and microbial biomass that turn over within one to five years. Slow SOM includes SOM protected within soil aggregates and turns over on the order of decades. Passive SOM includes stable, mineral-associated organic materials.



From Shaver et al., 2005. Based on 12 years of data.

- Over time, nutrient-supplying potential of soils increase under continuous crop no-till;
- Larger, more diverse microbial community in larger SOM content soils with more late-season moisture causes increased mineralization through the season, reducing fertilizer needs.




From Grant et al., 2002. Based on 34 years of data.




Less water and nutrient availability in spring

- In a North Dakota continuously cropped wheat-sunflower system, no-till had consistently lower spring soil nitrate even though total nitrogen (N) contents are higher under no-till;
- Reflects greater N mineralization from SOM under tillage than no-till and greater nitrate removal under no-till because of higher yields;
- In Kansas, continuous no-till wheat had lower available water at planting time (September) than reduced-till rotations that incorporate fallow, especially deep in the soil profile;
- However, over 80 percent of precipitation was lost during fallow years, reflecting notoriously low precipitation storage efficiency of fallow;
- Lower available water below the root zone, along with better storage efficiency of precipitation, means that more annual precipitation is supporting crop growth under continuous crop no-till than cropping systems that include tillage and fallow.




Spring soil nitrate in the 0- to 120-cm soil profile. Conventional till (CT), minimum till (MT) no-till (NT). From Halvorson et al., 1999.




From Schlegel et al., 2002. Wheat at sampling (W), wheat (w), sorghum (s), fallow (f).

But higher grain yields over the long term

- North Dakota winter wheat yielded more grain under no-till in mid range available water levels;
- Yields during years with >400 mm PAW suffered from leaf spot disease, especially in the no-till treatments under low fertilizer rates;
- The authors emphasize importance of N fertilization in maintaining healthy plants under no-till conditions;
- No-till and minimum-till made much better use of moderate and high fertilizer N rates.
- Under these continuous wheat-sunflower rotations, no-till spring moisture levels were higher leading to higher yield potential and fertilizer need;
- Yield differences likely contribute to differences in spring soil nitrate content as no-till removes more nitrate and CT leaves more in the soil;
- Other studies show fertilization needs decrease with time as higher SOM contents stabilize under no-till.



Winter wheat yields grouped by annual plant available water (PAW) over the 11-year study period. From Halvorson et al., 1999. Wyoming yields average about 1700 kg ha⁻¹ (25 bu ac⁻¹) almost exclusively under conventional crop-fallow.



From Halvorson et al., 1999 (N rates: 30, 60, and 90 lb ac⁻¹).



Introduction

1. Growth of corn-based ethanol production is expected to increase crop prices and intensify production of wheat and other non-biofuel crops as producers switch to corn and as wheat replaces corn for livestock feeding (Collins, 2006; Elobeid et al., 2006);
2. Incentives for carbon (C) sequestration may promote adoption of practices that store soil organic matter (SOM), especially conservation tillage (Lewandowski et al., 2004);
3. Soaring prices for fuel and fertilizer will force producers to reduce inputs;
4. Cropping systems that reduce or eliminate tillage and fallow, and enable intensification, might become increasingly prevalent as producers respond to biofuel and carbon sequestration incentives;
5. Information is needed to help eastern Wyoming wheat producers adapt to these emerging production pressures.

Conventional Crop-Fallow




Economic Stability in an Unpredictable Environment

- Crop-fallow systems stabilize yields & create relatively predictable income;
- Excess water and nutrients stored during fallow create buffer for crop years.
- High available water at planting increases yield potential.

A Leaky System


- 75 percent or more of annual precipitation is typically lost to runoff, evaporation, deep percolation, and weeds;
- Nutrient supply is out of sync with crop demand. Nutrients accumulated during fallow are lost in the same ways as moisture, depleting soils and contributing to off-site pollution;
- Great plains soils have lost 30 to 60 percent of the SOM accumulated during millennia of grassland cover since intensive tillage began (Aguilar et al., 1988);
- SOM preferentially lost through erosion by wind and water;
- Tillage and fertilizer stimulate decomposition, leading to loss of mineralized nutrients and soil organic matter.



From Brady and Weil (1996).

Loss of Soil Quality

- Loss of SOM reduces soil water- and nutrient-supplying potential;
- Loss of soil structure reduces porosity impeding movement of air and water;
- Loss of aggregation leads to loss of previously protected SOM and increased vulnerability to wind and water erosion.



No-Till in the Northern Plains




Success includes new management approaches

- Average yields increase but annual yields drop significantly;
- Lower fertilizer requirements to match annual yield;
- High C/N residue: incorporate fertilizer below to avoid immobilization;
- Becomes easy to over fertilize relative to available water, which can decrease yields, pollute water resources, and waste money;
- New approaches to determining soil nutrient supply may be necessary.

- Adoption of no-till practices ranges from 2.5 percent of all planted acres in Wyoming to 37 percent in South Dakota (CTIC, 2004).


- Rates of no-till usage reflect the amount of non-irrigated cropland (NASS, 2002).



Total 2004 cropland under no-till as percent of the total acres planted to all crops (right axis) and total 2002 non-irrigated as percent of all cropland (left axis) in Wyoming and surrounding states (NASS, 2002; CTIC, 2004).

- Data for wheat, which is mostly non-irrigated and is Wyoming's largest annual crop (by acres planted) show uneven adoption conservation- and no-till;

- One reason suggested for low rates of conservation tillage in Wyoming is that marginal yields and low residue production negate water conserving benefits (Dalrymple et al., 1993);



2004 wheat under conservation tillage and no-till as percent of the total wheat planted. From CTIC (2004).

- However, wheat yields averaged over seven census of agriculture years (Table 1) show that Wyoming wheat yields were not significantly different from yields in Montana, South Dakota, and Colorado, each of which has higher rates of conservation tillage than Wyoming.

Table 1. Average wheat acres harvested and yield over seven Census of Agriculture years. Compiled from NASS (2002).

	Average Harvest		Average Wheat Yield by Census of Agriculture Year						
	2002	1997	1992	1997	1982	1978	1974	Ave†	
	Ac yr ⁻¹		Bu ac ⁻¹						
Montana	5,008,558	23	31	29	31	31	28	23	28bc
S. Dakota	2,955,688	27	28	30	28	26	21	18	26c
Nebraska	2,130,325	33	35	30	39	34	30	32	33b
Colorado	2,484,287	23	30	34	27	22	25	27bc	
Idaho	1,366,970	65	77	68	67	58	51	41	61a
Utah	202,909	34	43	35	37	28	26	24	33b
Wyoming	252,013	17	30	25	29	26	23	24	25c
United States	58,277,291	35	38	37	35	33	30	27	34b

†Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P<0.05.

Conclusions

Wyoming's low adoption rates of conservation tillage systems may represent opportunities for intensified production systems that increase yields, conserve natural resources, and facilitate storage of soil organic matter. The challenge for researchers, agricultural educators, and Wyoming producers is to develop and commit to new management strategies necessary for success of conservation cropping systems.

References

Aguilar, R.E., F.E. Kelley, and R.D. Heil. 1988. Effects of cultivation of soils in northern Great Plains rangeland. Soil Science Society of America Journal 52:1081-1085.

Brady, N.C., and R.R. Weil. 1996. Nature and properties of soils. Eleventh edition. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Collins, K. 2006. Implications of the growing biofuels industry on American agriculture. Statement of Keith Collins, Chief Economist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, before the U.S. Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works (Online) <http://www.usda.gov/oc/newsroom/> (verified February 4).

CTIC. 2004. National crop residue management survey (Online). Available by Conservation Technology Information Center (<http://www.conservaioninformation.org/?action=crml>) (verified February 7).

Dalrymple, A.W., S.D. Miller, and K.J. Forstrom. 1993. Soil water conservation and winter wheat yield in three fallow systems. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 48:53-57.

Elobeid, A., S. Tokgoz, D.J. Hayes, B.A. Babcock, and C.E. Hart. 2006. The long-run impact of corn-based ethanol on the grain, oilseed, and livestock sectors: A preliminary assessment. CARD Briefing Paper 06-BP-09 (Online). Available by Center for Agricultural and Rural Development www.card.iastate.edu (verified February 7).

Grant, C.A., G.A. Peterson, and C.A. Campbell. 2002. Nutrient considerations for diversified cropping systems in the northern Great Plains. Agron. J. 94:186-198.

Halvorson, A.D., A.L. Black, J.M. Krupinsky, and S.D. Merrill. 1999. Dryland winter wheat response to tillage and nitrogen within an annual cropping system. Agron. J. 91:702-707.

Lewandowski, J., M. Peens, C. Jones, R. House, M. Sponso, M. Ewe, and K. Paustian. 2004. Economics of sequestering carbon in the U.S. agricultural sector. TB-1909, USDA ERS, April 2004.

NASS. 2002. Census of Agriculture (Online). Available by National Agricultural Statistics Service (http://www.nass.usda.gov/Census_of_Agriculture/) (verified January 22, 2007).

Schlegel, A.J., T.J. Dauber, and C.K. Thompson. 2002. Feasibility of four-year crop rotations in the central High Plains. Agron. J. 94:509-517.

Shaver, T.M., G.A. Peterson, L.R. Ahuja, D.G. Westfall, L.A. Sherrod, and G. Dunn. 2002. Surface soil properties after twelve years of dryland no-till management. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 66:1296-1303.

Sherrod, L.A., G.A. Peterson, D.G. Westfall, and L.R. Ahuja. 2005. Soil organic carbon pools after 12 years in no-till dryland agroecosystems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 69:1600-1608.